arXiv:1611.01992v1 [math.QA] 7 Nov 2016

SIMPLE FINITE-DIMENSIONAL DOUBLE ALGEBRAS

M. E. GONCHAROV, P. S. KOLESNIKOV

Abstract. A double algebra is a linear space V equipped with linear map $V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$. Additional conditions on this map lead to the notions of Lie and associative double algebras. We prove that simple finite-dimensional Lie double algebras do not exist over an arbitrary field, and all simple finite-dimensional associative double algebras over an algebraically closed field are trivial. Over an arbitrary field, every simple finite-dimensional associative double algebra is commutative. A double algebra structure on a finite-dimensional space V is naturally described by a linear operator R on the algebra $\operatorname{End} V$ of linear transformations of V. Double Lie algebras correspond in this sense to skew-symmetric Rota—Baxter operators, double associative algebra structures—to (left) averaging operators.

1. Introduction

The general philosophy of noncommutative geometry which goes back to M. Kontsevich states that a noncommutative geometric structure on an associative algebra A should turn into an ordinary geometric structure on the variety of n-dimensional representations of A under the functor Rep_n from the category of associative algebras to the category of schemes. In particular, the notion of a double Poisson algebra introduced in [1] and [10] fits this ideology (however, this approach is different from the one in [6]).

Namely, suppose A is a finitely generated associative algebra over a field $\Bbbk$, $n \geq 1$, and let $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Rep}_n(A))$ be the algebra of regular functions on the variety of all n-dimensional representations of A. This affine algebra is generated by functions x_{ij}^a, $a \in A$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, where $\rho(a)_{ij} = x_{ij}^a(\rho)$ for every representation $\rho : A \to M_n(\Bbbk)$. A *double Poisson bracket* on A is a linear map

$$[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!] : A \otimes A \to A \otimes A$$

satisfying a series of identities similar to anti-commutativity, Jacobi identity, and Leibniz rule. A double Poisson bracket on A induces ordinary Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Rep}_n(A))$ by the rule $\{x_{ij}^a, x_{kl}^b\} = \sum x_{il}^{c_{(1)}} x_{kj}^{c_{(2)}}$

Supported by Russian Science Foundation (project 14-21-00065).

for $[\![a,b]\!] = \sum c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \in A \otimes A$, $a, b \in A$ (it is enough to define Poisson bracket on the generators).

The "double analogues" of anti-commutativity and Jacobi identity involve only double bracket and do not involve the product in A. It was proposed in [2] to define *Lie double algebras* as linear spaces equipped with such double brackets.

This work was inspired by a problem stated by Victor Kac in his talk on the conference "Lie and Jordan algebras, their representations and applications" dedicated to Efim Zelmanov's 60th birthday (Bento Goncalves, Brasil, December 2015): prove that simple finite-dimensional Lie double algebras do not exist. In this paper, we present a solution of this problem. We also define associative double algebras in such a way that its double commutator algebra is a Lie one. It turns out that, over an algebraically closed field, the only simple finite-dimensional double associative algebras are 1-dimensional; over an arbitrary field, such system may exist but they are all commutative.

2. Double associative and Lie algebras

A *double algebra* is a linear space V equipped with a linear map (called *double bracket*) $\{\{\cdot,\cdot\}\} : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$. It is clear how to define subalgebras and homomorphisms of double algebras. Ideals of a double algebra are supposed to be kernels of homomorphisms, so they have to be subspaces $I \subseteq V$ such that $\{\{V, I\}\} + \{\{I, V\}\} \subseteq I \otimes V + V \otimes I$. A double algebra V is said to be simple if $\{\{V, V\}\} \neq 0$ and there are no nonzero proper ideals in V.

There is a natural way to extend a double bracket on V to the following four linear maps (see [2]) $V^{\otimes 3} \to V^{\otimes 3}$:

$$a \otimes b \otimes c \mapsto \{\{a, b \otimes c\}\}_L = \{\{a, b\}\} \otimes c,$$
$$a \otimes b \otimes c \mapsto \{\{a, b \otimes c\}\}_R = (b \otimes \{\{a, c\}\})^{(12)},$$
$$a \otimes b \otimes c \mapsto \{\{a \otimes b, c\}\}_L = (\{\{a, c\}\} \otimes b)^{(23)},$$
$$a \otimes b \otimes c \mapsto \{\{a \otimes b, c\}\}_R = a \otimes \{\{b, c\}\}$$

for $a, b, c \in V$. Hereanafter u^σ for $u \in V^{\otimes n}$, $\sigma \in S_n$ stands for the permutation of tensor factors.

Definition 1 ([2])**.** A double algebra L is said to be a *Lie* one if

$$\{\{a, b\}\} = -\{\{b, a\}\}^{(12)}, \quad \{\{a, \{\{b, c\}\}\}\}_L - \{\{b, \{\{a, c\}\}\}\}_R^{(12)} = \{\{\{\{a, b\}\}, c\}\}_L$$

for all $a, b, c \in L$.

Definition 2. A double algebra V is said to be *associative* if

$$\{\{a,\{\{b,c\}\}\}\}_L = \{\{\{\{a,b\}\},c\}\}_L, \quad \{\{a,\{\{b,c\}\}\}\}_R = \{\{\{\{a,b\}\},c\}\}_R$$

for all $a,b,c \in V$.

If, in addition, $\{\{a,b\}\} = \{\{b,a\}\}^{(12)}$ then V is a *commutative double algebra*.

Remark 1. Our definition for $\{\{a, b\otimes c\}\}_R$ is slightly different from analogous one in [2], but we also change the "double analogue" of Jacobi identity to get the same notion of a double Lie algebra as in [2].

Example 1. For a linear space V, define $\{\{u,v\}\} = u\otimes v$ for $u,v \in V$. This turns V into an associative and commutative double algebra denoted V_c.

Example 2. Given a double algebra V, define new (opposite) double bracket by $\{\{u,v\}\}^{op} = \{\{u,v\}\}^{(12)}$, $u,v\in V$. Denote the double algebra obtained by V^{op}. If V is associative (Lie, commutative) then so is V^{op}.

Example 3. Let $V = \Bbbk^2$ with standard basis e_1, e_2. Define $\{\{e_1,e_1\}\} = e_1\otimes e_2$, and let the other double products be zero. The double algebra V_2 obtained is associative and non-commutative.

Example 4. Let V be a linear space. Choose a linear map $\varphi \in \operatorname{End} V$ such that $\varphi^2 = 0$ and define

$$\{\{u,v\}\} = \varphi(u)\otimes v + u\otimes\varphi(v), \quad u,v\in V.$$

The system obtained is an associative and commutative double algebra.

Example 5. Let A be an associative algebra, and let Z be a subspace of $\{\varphi\in\operatorname{End} A \mid \varphi(xy) = x\varphi(y)\, x,y\in A\}$ with a fixed basis $\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n$. Consider $V = Z\oplus A$ equipped with double bracket

$$\{\{x,y\}\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\varphi_i(x)y\otimes\varphi_i, \quad x,y\in A,$$
$$\{\{x,\varphi\}\} = \{\{\varphi,x\}\} = 0, \quad x\in V,\ \varphi\in Z.$$

Then V is an associative, noncommutative double algebra. Example 3 is a particular case of such V with $A = \Bbbk$.

Lemma 1. *If V is a associative double algebra with double bracket $\{\{\cdot,\cdot\}\}$. Then the same space V equipped with double bracket*

$$[\![a,b]\!] = \{\{a,b\}\} - \{\{b,a\}\}^{(12)}, \quad a,b\in V,$$

is a Lie double algebra denoted by $V^{(-)}$.

Proof. This may be shown in a straightforward computation. In finite-dimensional case, this statement independently follows from a relation between averaging and Rota—Baxter operators on $\operatorname{End} V$ which will be considered below. □

Let V and U be two double algebras. Then $V \otimes U$ is also a double algebra with respect to a double bracket given by

$$\{\!\{v_1 \otimes u_1, v_2 \otimes u_2\}\!\} = (\{\!\{v_1, v_2\}\!\} \otimes \{\!\{u_1, u_2\}\!\})^{(23)} \in V \otimes U \otimes V \otimes U.$$

Let V be a Lie (associative, commutative) double algebra, and let U be a commutative double algebra. Then $V \otimes U$ is a double Lie (resp., associative, commutative) algebra. Indeed, it is enough to compute $\{\!\{\{\!\{a, b\}\!\}, c\}\!\}_{L,R}$ and $\{\!\{a, \{\!\{b, c\}\!\}\}\!\}_{L,R}$ for $a, b, c \in V \otimes U$.

If V is a finite-dimensional double algebra then the conjugate map $\{\!\{\cdot, \cdot\}\!\}^*$ determined a double algebra structure on the dual space V^*. If V is Lie or commutative double algebra then so is V^*, but for an associative double algebra V this is not true.

Example 6. Consider the space $\Bbbk^2$ equipped with a double product $[\![e_1, e_1]\!] = e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1$ (others are zero). This is a Lie double algebra L_2 isomorphic to $V_2^{(-)}$.

In contrast, $L_2^* = \Bbbk^2$ with $[\![e_1, e_2]\!] = e_1 \otimes e_1 = -[\![e_2, e_1]\!]$ is also a Lie double algebra, but it cannot be presented as $V^{(-)}$ for an associative double algebra V.

Example 7 ([10])**.** The space $P_1 = \Bbbk[t]$ equipped with

$$[\![t^n, t^m]\!] = \frac{(t^n \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t^n)(t^m \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t^m)}{t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes t}$$

is a Lie double algebra.

Example 8 (Communicated by Victor Kac)**.** Consider the Lie double algebra $dY(N) = P_1 \otimes V_c^{op} \otimes V_c$, where $V = \Bbbk^N$. Its multiplication table relative to the basis $T_n^{ij} = t^n \otimes e_i \otimes e_j$ $(n \geq 0,\ i, j = 1, \ldots, N)$ has the following form:

$$[\![T_m^{ij}, T_n^{kl}]\!] = \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{m,n\}-1} \left(T_r^{kj} \otimes T_{m+n-r-1}^{il} - T_{m+n-r-1}^{kj} \otimes T_r^{il}\right)$$

It worths mentioning that these relations verbally repeat the defining relations of the Yangian $Y(gl_N)$:

$$[T_m^{ij}, T_n^{kl}] = \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{m,n\}-1} \left(T_r^{kj} T_{m+n-r-1}^{il} - T_{m+n-r-1}^{kj} T_r^{il}\right)$$

The relation between double Lie algebras and the classical Yang—Baxter equation has a very natural and precise form in the finite-dimensional case (c.f. [7]). Suppose V is a finite-dimensional space. Recall that the associative algebra $\operatorname{End} V$ of all linear transformations of V has a symmetric bilinear nondegenerate form (trace form)

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \operatorname{End} V \otimes \operatorname{End} V \to \Bbbk$$

given by $\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(xy)$, $x, y \in \operatorname{End} V$. This form is invariant, i.e., $\langle xy, z \rangle = \langle x, yz \rangle = \langle y, zx \rangle$. Fix a linear isomorphism $\iota : \operatorname{End} V \to (\operatorname{End} V)^*$ given by

$$\langle \iota(x), y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$$

(here in the left-hand side $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the natural pairing).

Recall that for every finite-dimensional space W we may identify $\operatorname{End} W$ and $W^* \otimes W$ in the following way:

$$(\varphi \otimes x) : y \mapsto \langle \varphi, z \rangle y, \quad x, y \in W,\ \varphi \in W^*.$$

The latter allows to identify $\operatorname{End} V \otimes \operatorname{End} V$ and $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{End} V)$ by means of the trace form. Thus we have a chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \operatorname{End}(V \otimes V) &\simeq (V \otimes V)^* \otimes V \otimes V \simeq V^* \otimes V \otimes V^* \otimes V \\ &\simeq \operatorname{End} V \otimes \operatorname{End} V \simeq \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{End} V). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the space of double brackets on V is isomorphic to the space $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{End} V)$, i.e., every double algebra structure $\{\{\cdot, \cdot\}\} \in \operatorname{End}(V \otimes V)$ is determined by a linear operator $R : \operatorname{End} V \to \operatorname{End} V$. Tracking back the chain (1) we obtain an explicit expression for a double bracket in terms of operators:

$$(2) \quad \{\{a, b\}\} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i(a) \otimes R(e_i^*)(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} R^*(e_i)(a) \otimes e_i^*(b), \quad a, b \in V,$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_N$ is a linear basis of $\operatorname{End} V$, $e_1^*, \dots, e_N^*$ is the corresponding dual basis relative to the trace form, R^* denotes the conjugate operator on $\operatorname{End} V$ relative to the trace form.

Lemma 2. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra (not necessarily associative) equipped with a symmetric bilinear invariant nondegenerate form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Suppose R is a linear operator on A and R^* stands for its conjugate. Then either of the following three identities is equivalent to other two:*

$$(3) \quad R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y),$$

$$(4) \quad R^*(x)R(y) = R^*(xR(y)),$$

$$(5) \quad R^*(R(x)y) = R^*(xR^*(y)).$$

Moreover, the same holds for the following triple of identities:

$$R^*(x)R^*(y) = R^*(R^*(x)y), \tag{6}$$

$$R(x)R^*(y) = R(xR^*(y)), \tag{7}$$

$$R(R^*(x)y) = R(xR(y)). \tag{8}$$

Proof. Note that for every $x, y, z \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle R(y)R(x), z\rangle &= \langle x, R^*(zR(y))\rangle = \langle y, R^*(R(x)z)\rangle,\\
\langle R(R(y)x), z\rangle &= \langle x, R^*(z)R(y)\rangle = \langle y, R^*(xR^*(z))\rangle.\\
\langle R(yR(x)), z\rangle &= \langle x, R^*(R^*(z)y)\rangle = \langle y, R(x)R^*(z)\rangle,\\
\langle R(R^*(y)x), z\rangle &= \langle x, R^*(z)R^*(y)\rangle = \langle y, R(xR^*(z))\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

Nondegeneracy of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ implies the claim. □

Theorem 1. *Let V be a double algebra with a double bracket $\{\{\cdot,\cdot\}\}$ determined by an operator $R : \operatorname{End} V \to \operatorname{End} V$ by (2). Then*

(1) *V is a Lie double algebra if and only if*

$$R^* = -R, \quad R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y) + R(xR(y)), \quad x, y \in \operatorname{End} V. \tag{9}$$

(2) *V is an associative double algebra if and only if*

$$R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y), \quad R^*(x)R^*(y) = R^*(R^*(x)y), \quad x, y \in \operatorname{End} V. \tag{10}$$

(3) *V is a commutative double algebra if and only if*

$$R = R^*, \quad R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y) = R(xR(y)), \quad x, y \in \operatorname{End} V. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Equation (2) immediately implies the identity $\{\{a, b\}\} = \pm\{\{b, a\}\}^{(12)}$ to be equivalent to $R = \pm R^*$.

Suppose $F_{12} \in (\operatorname{End} V)^{\otimes 3} \simeq \operatorname{End}(V^{\otimes 3})$ is given by

$$F_{12}(a\otimes b\otimes c) = \{\{a, \{\{b, c\}\}\}\}_L = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} e_j(a)\otimes R(e_j^*)e_i(b)\otimes R(e_i^*)(c), \quad a, b, c \in V.$$

For every $x, y \in \operatorname{End} V$, compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(\langle x,\cdot\rangle \otimes \langle y,\cdot\rangle \otimes \mathrm{id})F_{12} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \langle x, e_j\rangle\langle y, R(e_j^*)e_i\rangle R(e_i^*) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\langle y, \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle x, e_j\rangle R(e_j^*)e_i \right\rangle R(e_i^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle y, R(x)e_i\rangle R(e_i^*) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle yR(x), e_i\rangle R(e_i^*) = R(yR(x)).
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Similarly, if

$$F_{23}(a\otimes b\otimes c)=\{\!\{b,\{\!\{a,c\}\!\}\}\!\}_R^{(12)}=\sum_{i,j=1}^N e_j(a)\otimes e_i(b)\otimes R(e_i^*)R(e_j^*)(c)$$

then for every $x,y\in\operatorname{End}V$ we have

$$(\langle x,\cdot\rangle\otimes\langle y,\cdot\rangle\otimes\mathrm{id})F_{23}=R(y)R(x). \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, if

$$G_{12}(a\otimes b\otimes c)=\{\!\{\{\!\{a,b\}\!\},c\}\!\}_L=\sum_{i,j=1}^N e_ie_j(a)\otimes R(e_j^*)(b)\otimes R(e_i^*)(c),$$

$$G_{23}(a\otimes b\otimes c)=\{\!\{\{\!\{b,a\}\!\},c\}\!\}_R^{(12)}=\sum_{i,j=1}^N e_iR(e_j^*)(a)\otimes e_j(b)\otimes R(e_i^*)(c)$$

then

$$(\langle x,\cdot\rangle\otimes\langle y,\cdot\rangle\otimes\mathrm{id})G_{12}=R(R^*(y)x), \tag{14}$$

$$(\langle x,\cdot\rangle\otimes\langle y,\cdot\rangle\otimes\mathrm{id})G_{23}=R(R(y)x). \tag{15}$$

The first statement now follows from (12), (13), (14). Relations (12), (14) and (13), (15) imply the associativity of V is equivalent to the following pair of identities:

$$R(yR(x))=R(R^*(y)x),\quad R(y)R(x)=R(R(y)x),\quad x,y\in\operatorname{End}V.$$

To complete the proof it is enough to apply Lemma 2 to $A=\operatorname{End}V$. □

The second relation in (9) is known as *Rota—Baxter equation*. Linear transformation of an associative algebra satisfying this equation is called a *Rota—Baxter operator*. Associative algebras with Rota—Baxter operator (Rota—Baxter algebras) have a well-developed theory, see [3]. Skew-symmetric Rota—Baxter operators are in one-to-one correspondence with constant solutions of the classical Yang—Baxter equation, see [9]. The second relation in (11) is known as *averaging equation*. Algebras with such operators (averaging algebras) are of substantial interest in functional analysis, they have also been studied from combinatorial point of view [8, 11].

A linear map $R:A\to A$ on an algebra A satisfying the first relation in (10) is said to be a *left averaging* operator on A.

Corollary 1. *Let A be an algebra (not necessarily associative) with a symmetric bilinear invariant nondegenerate form. Suppose T is a left averaging operator on A such that its conjugate T^* is also left averaging. Then $R=T-T^*$ is a skew-symmetric Rota—Baxter operator on A.*

Example 9. A simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra A over a field of zero characteristic satisfies the condition of Corollary 1. For a Lie algebra, left averaging operator is obviously an averaging one. For example, for $A = sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ all averaging operators (described in [5]) are symmetric, so the only Rota—Baxter operator of the form $T - T^*$ is zero.

3. Ideals in Lie double algebras

Let us first state a necessary condition of simplicity of a double algebra.

Proposition 1. *Let V be a simple finite-dimensional double algebra with a double product $\{\{\cdot,\cdot\}\}$ corresponding to an operator $R : \operatorname{End} V \to \operatorname{End} V$. Then V has no nonzero proper invariant subspaces relative to all operators from $R(\operatorname{End} V)$ and $R^*(\operatorname{End} V)$.*

Proof. Relation (2) immediately implies every $R(\operatorname{End} V)$- and $R^*(\operatorname{End} V)$-invariant subspace of V to be an ideal. □

Recall that a subalgebra B of $\operatorname{End} V$ is called irreducible if V is an irreducible B-module. The Jacobson Density Theorem (see, e.g., [4]) implies an irreducible subalgebra B to be isomorphic to $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}^{op}} V$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the centralizer of B in A (division algebra). In particular, if the base field $\Bbbk$ is algebraically closed then $B = \operatorname{End} V$ (Burnside Theorem). For an arbitrary field $\Bbbk$, an irreducible subalgebra B has to contain the identity of $\operatorname{End} V$.

Theorem 2. *Let L be a finite-dimensional Lie double algebra,* $\dim L > 1$*. Then L contains a nonzero proper ideal.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 the double bracket on L is given by (2) for an appropriate skew-symmetric Rota—Baxter operator R on the algebra $A = \operatorname{End} L$. The Rota—Baxter relation

$$R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y) + R(xR(y))$$

implies $R(A)$ to be a subalgebra of A. Note that $R(A)$ does not contain the identity $1 \in A$. Indeed, if $R(x) = 1$ for some $x \in A$ then $1 \cdot 1 = R(x)+R(x) = 1+1$ which is impossible. This contradicts to Proposition 1. □

The only Lie double algebra L without nonzero proper ideals is one-dimensional. In this case, $[\![L, L]\!] = 0$, so simple finite-dimensional Lie double algebras do not exist.

If L is a finite-dimensional Lie double algebra then every ideal of L may be embedded into a maximal one which has to be of codimension

one. On the other hand, every ideal of L contains a minimal one. This observation causes natural question on the possible dimension of such minimal ideals.

Example 10. Let $L(2,n)$ denote the Lie double algebra $L_2 \otimes V_c \otimes V_c^{op}$, $V = \Bbbk^n$. If I is a nonzero ideal in $L(2,n)$ then $\dim I \geq n$.

4. Ideals in double associative algebras

Let V be a linear space over a field $\Bbbk$ with double bracket $\{\{u,v\}\} = \alpha u \otimes v$, $\alpha \in \Bbbk$. Then V is a commutative double algebra and every subspace of V is an ideal. Thus this double algebra is simple if and only if $\dim V = 1$, $\alpha \neq 0$. We are going to show that there are no other simple finite-dimensional associative double algebras.

Throughout the rest of the section V is a finite-dimensional associative double algebra of dimension n with double bracket $\{\{\cdot,\cdot\}\}$ given by (2) for an appropriate operator R on $A = \operatorname{End} V$ (equipped with the trace form) satisfying (3)–(8).

Lemma 3. (1) *The space $B = R(A) + R^*(A)$ is a subalgebra of A.*
(2) *$R(A)$ and $R^*(A)$ are right ideals of B.*
(3) *$\operatorname{Ker} R$ and $\operatorname{Ker} R^*$ are left B-submodules of A.*
(4) $\operatorname{Ker} R \cdot R^*(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} R \cap \operatorname{Ker} R^*$.
(5) $\operatorname{Ker} R^* \cdot R(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} R \cap \operatorname{Ker} R^*$.

Proof. immediately follow from (3)–(8). □

Lemma 4. *If $\operatorname{Ker} R = 0$ then $R = R^* = \alpha \operatorname{id}_A$ for $\alpha \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\dim \operatorname{Ker} R = \dim \operatorname{Ker} R^*$, we have $R^*(A) = A$. Relations (3) and (7) imply $R(xy) = xR(y) = R(x)y$ for all $x, y \in A$. Hence, $R = \alpha \operatorname{id}_A$ for a nonzero scalar α. □

Proposition 2. *If $R(A) + R^*(A) = A$ then $\operatorname{Ker} R = 0$.*

Proof. Assume $A = R(A) + R^*(A)$, $\operatorname{Ker} R \neq 0$. Then for every $P \in A$ there exists a decomposition $P = P_1 + P_2$, $P_1 \in R(A)$, $P_2 \in R^*(A)$. Relations (3) and (7) imply

$$R(P) = R(P_1) + R(P_2) = R(P_1 \cdot 1) + R(1 \cdot P_2) = P_1 R(1) + R(1) P_2. \tag{16}$$

Then $R(A)$, $R^*(A)$, and $R(A) \cap R^*(A)$ are proper right ideals in A and there exists a basis in V such that in the corresponding matrix presentation

$$R(A) \cap R^*(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in M_s(\Bbbk), y \in M_{s,n-s}(\Bbbk) \right\}$$

for some $0 \leq s < n$.

If $s > 0$ then for every $u \in R(A) \cap R^*(A)$

$$R(u) = R(u \cdot 1) = uR(1), \quad R(u) = R(1 \cdot u) = R(1)u$$

by (3), (7). Hence, $R(1)$ commutes with every matrix unit e_{1i}, $i = 1, \dots, n$, so $R(1) = \alpha 1$ for some $\alpha \in \Bbbk$. Note that $\alpha \neq 0$: otherwise, $R(A) = 0 = R^*(A)$ by (16). This implies $R(A) = A$ in contradiction with our assumption.

Therefore, $s = 0$ and $R(A) \cap R^*(A) = 0$, so $A = R(A) \dot{+} R^*(A)$, $n = 2r$. As a proper right ideal,

$$R(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in M_r(\Bbbk), y \in M_{r,n-r}(\Bbbk) \right\}$$

in an appropriate matrix presentation of $\operatorname{End} V$, $0 < r < n$ Since $\operatorname{Ker} R^* = R(A)^\perp$ (relative to the trace form), we have

$$\operatorname{Ker} R^* = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \mid y \in M_{r,n-r}(\Bbbk), z \in M_{n-r}(\Bbbk) \right\}$$

As a complement of $R(A)$, the right ideal $R^*(A)$ is of the form

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \psi(w) \\ w \end{pmatrix} \mid w \in M_{n-r,n}(\Bbbk) \right\}$$

for a uniquely defined linear map $\psi : M_{n-r,n}(\Bbbk) \to M_{r,n}(\Bbbk)$. Recall that $n - r = r$. Obviously,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi(w) \\ w \end{pmatrix} P = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(w)P \\ wP \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(wP) \\ wP \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $P \in M_n(\Bbbk)$, $w \in M_{n-r,n}(\Bbbk)$. Therefore, $\psi(w) = pw$ for some fixed $p \in M_r(\Bbbk)$, i.e.,

$$R^*(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} px & py \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in M_r(\Bbbk), y \in M_{r,n-r}(\Bbbk) \right\}.$$

Finally, $\operatorname{Ker} R = R^*(A)^\perp$ (relative to the trace form), so

$$\operatorname{Ker} R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y & -yp \\ z & -zp \end{pmatrix} \mid y \in M_{r,n-r}(\Bbbk), z \in M_{n-r}(\Bbbk) \right\}$$

Suppose $R(1) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $a, b \in M_r(\Bbbk)$. Then by (16)

$$R \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Ker} R$ for all $y \in M_r(\Bbbk)$ in contradiction with Lemma 3. $\square$

Corollary 2. *Over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$, the only simple finite-dimensional associative double algebra is a 1-dimensional space equipped with double product $\{\!\{u, v\}\!\} = \alpha u \otimes v$, $\alpha \in \Bbbk^*$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1, $B = R(A)+R^*(A)$ is an irreducible subalgebra of A. The Burnside Theorem implies $B = A$, so by Proposition 2 and Lemma 4 $R = R^* = \alpha \operatorname{id}_A$, $\alpha \in \Bbbk^*$. Therefore, $\{\!\{u, v\}\!\} = \alpha v \otimes u$ for every $u, v \in V$. Such a double algebra is simple if and only if $\dim V = 1$ (so $u \otimes v = v \otimes u$). □

Over an arbitrary field, simple finite-dimensional associative double algebras may exist, but they turn out to be commutative.

Lemma 5. *If B is an irreducible subalgebra of A and I is a proper right ideal of B then $IV \neq V$.*

Proof. Assume $IV = V$. Since B is irreducible, $V = Bv$ for every $0 \neq v \in V$. Hence, $V = IV = IBv \subseteq Iv$. Therefore, I itself is an irreducible subalgebra of A and must contain the identity, so $I = B$. □

Theorem 3. *Suppose V is a simple finite-dimensional associative double algebra. Then V is commutative.*

Proof. As above, let the double algebra structure on V be defined by an operator R on the algebra $A = \operatorname{End} V$.

Consider $B = R(A) + R^*(A)$. By Proposition 1 B is an irreducible subalgebra of A. Lemma 3 implies $R(A)$ and $R^*(A)$ to be right ideals of B.

Assume $I = R(A)$ is a proper right ideal of B. Then by Lemma 5 $IV \neq V$, and

$$\{\!\{u, v\}\!\} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} e_{ij}(u) \otimes R(e_{ji})(v) \in V \otimes IV$$

for all $u, v \in V$. Therefore, $\{\!\{V, V\}\!\} \subset V \otimes IV$, so IV is a proper ideal of the double algebra V (it is nonzero since $R = 0$ otherwise).

Thus, $R(A) = B$ and $R(A) = R^*(A) = B$. In this case, R is a (two-sided) averaging operator on A, and it is easy to see that

$$J = \{R(x) - R^*(x) \mid x \in A\}$$

is a (two-sided) ideal of B. However, B is a simple algebra, so either $J = 0$ or $J = B$. The latter case is impossible since $R - R^*$ is a Rota—Baxter operator by Corollary 1, but the image of a Rota—Baxter operator may not contain the identity of A (so is B). Hence, $R = R^*$ and V is commutative. □

Remark 2. There are two principal types of simple finite-dimensional double commutative algebras described in terms of their corresponding symmetric averaging operators. It is easy to see from Lemma 3 that either $R(A) \cap \operatorname{Ker} R = 0$ or $R(A) \cap \operatorname{Ker} R = R(A)$. In the first case, $A = R(A) \oplus \operatorname{Ker} R$, and for every $x \in A$ there exist uniquely defined $x_0 \in \operatorname{Ker} R$ and $x_1 \in R(A)$ such that $x = x_1 + x_0$ and $R(x) = x_1 u$, where $u = R(1)$ is a central element of $R(A)$. In particular, $R^2 = uR$. In the second case, $R^2 = 0$, in particular, $R(1) = 0$ which is possible only if the characteristic of $\Bbbk$ divides $\dim V$. Examples below show these two opportunities.

Example 11. Let $\Bbbk = \mathbb{R}$, $N = 2$. Consider the decomposition $M_2(\mathbb{R}) = E_1 \oplus E_0$, where

$$E_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad E_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & -x \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

With $u = 2$, this decomposition determines the following symmetric averaging operator:

$$R \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ v & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + w & y - v \\ v - y & x + w \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

such that $R^2 = 2R$. The corresponding commutative double algebra structure on $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by the following multiplication table:

$$\{\!\{e_1, e_1\}\!\} = -\{\!\{e_2, e_2\}\!\} = e_1 \otimes e_1 - e_2 \otimes e_2,$$
$$\{\!\{e_1, e_2\}\!\} = e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1.$$

Let us show that V is simple. Otherwise, there exists a 1-dimensional ideal spanned by $v = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. By definition, the functional $\xi \otimes \xi \in (V \otimes V)^*$, $\xi = \beta e_1^* - \alpha e_2^*$, has to annihilate $\{\!\{v, e_1\}\!\}$ and $\{\!\{v, e_2\}\!\}$. This leads to a system of algebraic equations on α and β which has only zero solution in $\mathbb{R}$. Hence, the double algebra determined by the operator (17) is simple.

Example 12. Let $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Z}_2(t)$. Then

$$R \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ v & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + w & y + tv \\ t^{-1}y + v & x + w \end{pmatrix}$$

is a symmetric averaging operator on $M_2(\Bbbk)$ such that $R^2 = 0$. This operator determines a structure of a simple double commutative algebra on $\Bbbk^2$ (this may be shown in the same way as in the previous example).

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by Russian Science Foundation (Project No. 14-21-00065). The authors are grateful to Victor Kac for stating the problem and inspiring discussions of the results.

References

[1] W. Crawley-Boevey, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, Noncommutative geometry and quiver algebras, Adv. Math. 209 (2007) 274–336.
[2] A. De Sole, V. G. Kac, D. Valeri, Double Poisson vertex algebras and non-commutative Hamiltonian equations, Adv. Math. 281 (2015) 1025–1099.
[3] L. Guo, An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra, International Press (US) and Higher Education Press (China), 2012.
[4] N. Jacobson, Structure of rings, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, vol. 37. American Mathematical Society, Prov., R. I., 1956.
[5] P. S. Kolesnikov, Homogeneous averaging operators on simple finite conformal Lie algebras, J. Math. Phys. 56, 071702 (2015).
[6] M. Kontsevich, Formal (non)commutative symplectic geometry, in: The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990–1992, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993. 173–187.
[7] A. Odesskii, V. Rubtsov, V. Sokolov, Double Poisson brackets on free associative algebras, in: Noncommutative birational geometry, representations and combinatorics, Contemp. Math. 592 (2013), 225–239, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
[8] J. Pei, L. Guo, Averaging algebras, Schröder numbers, rooted trees and operads, J. Algebr. Comb. 42, No. 1 (2015) 73–109.
[9] M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, What is a classical r-matrix? Funct. Anal. Appl. 17(4) (1983), 259–272.
[10] M. Van den Bergh, Double Poisson algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 360, no.11 (2008) 5711–5769.
[11] S. Zheng, L. Guo, M. Rosenkranz, Rota—Baxter operators on the polynomial algebra, integration, and averaging operators, Pac. J. Math. 275, No. 2 (2015) 481–507.

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia